How to become proficient with insertion of frameless IUDs?
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Abstract

Millions of women start using an IUD because doctors tell them that an IUDs are safe, effective and long-lasting. Unlike the pill, vaginal ring or the contraceptive patch, IUDs are fit and forget methods. In many women, this statement is true. Many women are happy with their IUD and don’t complain. However, about 50 to 60% have their IUD removed long before the usual 5-year lifespan of the IUD. Early IUD/IUS removal is frequent due to side effects, mostly bleeding and pain, because the uterus, like any organ, cannot tolerate a foreign body that is cumbersome for long periods of time. Young women are specifically vulnerable to side effects. They are also the most vulnerable to unintended pregnancy and this is precisely why many organizations and institutions advocate IUDs in order not to become pregnant unintendedly. However, early IUD discontinuation undermines their potential to prevent unintended pregnancy and has numerous drawbacks as many women switch to other, less effective methods or to no method at all. Continuation over time is the primary determinant of effectiveness for IUDs.

As researchers, active in the field of intrauterine contraception since several decades, we have tried to maximize continuation of use by designing non-hormonal and hormonal intrauterine devices that accommodate to every anatomically normal uterus. Frameless devices are small; they are flexible as they have no frame; they provide highly effective and well tolerated contraception simply because they fit. Embedment, a frequent complication of current, framed IUDs, is not possible if the frameless IUD as the uterine contractions have no impact on the flexible body and can therefore not be forced in the uterine wall. However, frameless IUDs need to be attached to the uterus to prevent expulsion. Precisely how correct anchoring is accomplished, including the pitfalls, and how to check the correct position of the anchored IUD is the subject of this paper.

1. Background

The intrauterine contraceptive device (IUD) are considered important in reducing unintended pregnancy rates. IUDs are useful immediately following induced first-trimester abortion, resulting in significantly fewer repeat abortions. In addition, copper IUDs are more effective than emergency contraceptive pills, providing long-term protection contraception, if well tolerated. They are also the most cost-effective contraceptive methods. However, their utility to reduce or eliminate unintended pregnancy depends on women or couples continuing to use the method. Compatibility between the IUD and the uterine cavity relationship, often overlooked by health care providers, is paramount to achieving this aim. As the design characteristics of IUDs differ greatly, patient individualization with respect to size and uterine fit has not been easily achieved. The following section reviews the concept of uterine compatibility as it relates to IUD design.

2. Size and shape of the uterine cavity in nulliparous women

Many years ago, the importance of an optimal interrelationship between the IUD and the uterine cavity was stressed by IUD developers as fewer side effects and greater acceptability would thereby be promoted. They concluded that side effects such as pain during use of the IUD is related to a disproportion between the size of the uterine cavity and that of the IUD. Particularly
a too wide IUD was found to be cumbersome. Table 1 shows the width of the uterine fundus measured by different methods in nulliparous women.

**Table 1** Fundal transverse diameter (FUD in mm) in nulliparous women in different studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method used</th>
<th>Hysterography</th>
<th>Cavimeter</th>
<th>3D ultrasound</th>
<th>2D ultrasound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FUD*</td>
<td>23.1±3.1(^b)</td>
<td>23.5±0.9(^b)</td>
<td>27.1 (20.2–34.1)(^7)</td>
<td>24.4 (13.8–35.0)(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean±SD; Mean (Range)

Ultrasound techniques, particularly sono-hysterography, gel-infusion sonography (GIS) and 3D ultrasound allow precise measurements (Figure 1).

![3D ultrasound illustration of the measurement of the transverse width of the uterine cavity](image)

**Figure 1** 3D ultrasound illustration of the measurement of the transverse width of the uterine cavity (arrows show the transverse distance which is 20.73 mm in this case).

To assess the size and shape of the uterine cavity, 3D ultrasound is the easiest and most reliable method to also diagnose uterine anomalies or other gynecological conditions such as adenomas which may affect IUD/uterus compatibility. Unfortunately, screening for congenital or gynecological uterine anomalies is not practical to carry out routinely but may occasionally still have substantial clinical impact in the selection of an appropriate IUD. Overall, about 5.5% uterine anomalies are diagnosed in an unselected population. Arcuate uteri are the most frequent abnormalities affecting 3.9% of all women (Figure 2). Subseptate or septate uteri have a prevalence of 2.3%. Bicornuate uteri are uncommon (0.4%) and 0.1% of cases present a unicorunate uterus. Uterus didelphys is rare and occurs in only approximately 0.3% in an unselected population.\(^9,10\) ESHRE (The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology) and the ESGE (European Society of Gynecological Endoscopy) proposed a classification system to provide a comprehensive clinical orientation of congenital anomalies of the uterus. Anomalies are classified into the following main classes based on anatomical deviations derived from the same embryological origin (Figure 1): U0 or normal uterus; U1 or dysmorphic uterus; U2 or septate uterus; U3 or bicornipetal uterus; U4 or hemi-uterus (Figure 3).\(^11\)
Figure 2 Uterus arcuatus: The transverse diameter is slightly enlarged due to the anomaly.
Class UO, or normal uterus

Class UI, or dysmorphic uterus

Class U2, or septate uterus

Class U3, or bicorporeal uterus

Class U4, or hemi uterus

**Figure 3** Classification of main uterine anomalies adapted from ESHRE/ESGE\textsuperscript{12}, schematic representation (left); 3D sonographic images (right).

During the different phases of the menstrual cycle uterine contractions can modulate the relationship between the IUD and the host endometrial cavity. Uterine contraction frequency is increased during the follicular phase, followed by a period of uterine quiescence during the
luteal phase. Figure 4 shows the anatomical and functional changes of the uterine cavity during the cycle. These contractions can compress, distort, displace, and expel the IUD, particularly if the IUD is too big and is not capable of adaptive changes. The impact of the uterine forces can be quite severe as illustrated in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4** A) Anatomical and functional changes of the uterine cavity on cycle day 1: contracted fundus with reduced transverse diameter; relaxed isthmus with increased transverse diameter; definite fundus-to-cervix muscle propagation waves. B) The effect of uterine forces on a Mirena IUD causing transverse positioning of the IUS with embedment.

In premenopausal women, an enlargement in uterine size is observed between nulliparous and parous women. The increase in volume is attributed predominantly due to an increase in thickness of the uterine wall since the uterine cavity width does not change much. A significant increase of the uterine volume occurs towards the end of the menstrual cycle is also observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravidity/Parity (n [%])</th>
<th>0 (n = 91)</th>
<th>1 (n = 38)</th>
<th>&gt;1 (n = 81)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean volume* (cm³) (SD)</td>
<td>55.3 (25.7)</td>
<td>66.4 (29.2)</td>
<td>103.1 (33.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Much of the increase in uterine volume occurs after pregnancy and is due to the uterine muscle which becomes thicker; the cavity, however, doesn’t change much.

SD = Standard Deviation

The length of the IUD is clinically of lesser importance with respect to expulsions however it may contribute to uterine compatibility. However, approximately one third of uterine cavities of nulliparous women are shorter than the length of the stem of the current IUDs. When the length of the stem is equal or longer to that of the endometrial cavity, irritation of the isthmus region will trigger myometrial contractions that promote pain, translocation, expulsion or embedment.
3. Implications for IUD users

The mean transverse dimension of uterine cavities in parous and nulliparous women are far less than the length of the transverse arm of most used conventional IUDs (e.g., Paragard IUD and Mirena levonorgestrel intrauterine system). The transvers arm length of these devices is 32 mm, which is too long for many uterine cavities resulting often in distortion, displacement, and expulsion of the IUD. The length of the devices are 36 and 32 mm, respectively. Spatial incompatibility can be circumvented by adaptation of T-shaped IUDs (Figure 5). If the IUD fits, IUD acceptance will be enhanced, thus maximizing continuation of use. These optimal geometric relationships promote IUD retention and stability while minimizing endometrial/myometrial trauma.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** A) Adapted T-shape intrauterine device with transverse arm of 18 mm; B) Hysteroscopic view of an LNG-IUS in situ with 6 mm shortened transverse arm. Note the optimal spatial compatibility with narrow uterine cavity; C) The smaller Jaydess/Skyla LNG-IUS with both arms embedded in the uterine wall.

4. Precision intrauterine contraception to promote high continuation of use

Comfort during IUD use and a high continuation of use is obtained by using a IUD that is not significantly wider than the width of the uterine cavity. The new Jaydess (Skyla® in the USA), having a transverse arm length of 28 mm is conceived for that reason. Initial clinical trials are encouraging, but this 28 mm transverse arm may still be too long for many women as the IUD cavity width is less than 24 mm in many women. Figure 6 illustrates the width of the uterine cavity in nulliparous women.

![Figure 6](image)
Figure 6 A) Hysteroscopic picture of a narrow uterine cavity. The cavity is slightly less than 20 mm; B, C and D) 3D ultrasound pictures of uterine cavities focused on the cavity width which corresponds with that of the majority of nulliparous women.

4.1 The frameless copper IUD (GyneFix)

Uterine cavities differ considerably in size and shape (Figure 1).6,17

Figure 7. Schematic representation of the differences in uterine cavities: A) Differences in width; B) Differences in length; C) Functional changes in size and examples of incompatibility. The width of the cavity is the most important parameter related to IUD performance and tolerance.6

T-shape designed IUDs rely on a crossarm width of 32 mm (some 28 mm) which is much greater than the mean uterine diameter of 24 mm.6,8 Their size is less than optimal for many woman, and will lead to patient discomfort, pain, embedment and possibly uterine perforation, particularly if there is a large disparity between the IUD and the narrow uterine cavity.7,18 The “frameless” IUD, has a diameter which is and can therefore be used in all uterine cavities. The frameless IUD has been successfully inserted and well tolerated in women with a very narrow uterine cavity (Figure 8).19
Figure 8. A) Frameless copper IUD with anchoring knot on the upper end. The anchor is inserted in the fundus of the uterus with a specially designed inserter. The IUD consists of hollow copper tubes which release copper ions from the outside and the inside. B) Frameless IUD inserted in a foam uterus. C) Hysteroscopic view of the frameless IUD attached to the fundus of this (narrow) uterine cavity.

An IUD that fits could significantly contribute to all current efforts to reduce the number of unintended pregnancies, particularly in young nulliparous and adolescent women, as this will enhance tolerance and continuation of use. However, too large IUDs will compress, distort, displace, and expel the IUD. This will result in early discontinuation of use (Figure 9). Moreover, displaced IUDs in the lower uterine segment or the cervix result in higher pregnancy rates and should therefore be removed or replaced. 20,21

Figure 9. A) 3D ultrasound pictures of T-shaped Jaydess IUD, apparently in normal position but with crossarms embedded in the uterine wall causing pain during sexual intercourse. The width of the uterine cavity is 20.02 mm; B) This uterus is only about 16 mm wide whilst the length if the crossarm is 32 mm; C) LNG-IUS displaced and embedded in cervix.

The characteristics of the frameless IUD, being totally flexible, eliminate the ability of the uterus to exert expulsive forces on the frameless IUD. The attachment to the fundus of the uterus minimizes the risk of expulsion. 22,23 Long term comfort, especially for those women (e.g., nulliparous and adolescent women) with a small or distorted uterine cavity, and for women who have experienced problems with framed IUDs can be achieved with a frameless IUD (Figure 9). 19,24
Figure 10. A, B and C) 3D ultrasound pictures of the frameless copper IUD in three uteri with width between 11.4 mm and 22.2 mm. Frameless IUDs accommodate cavities of different sizes and shapes maximizing performance and resulting in long duration of use.

Copper IUDs increase menstrual blood loss causing anemia in many of them. Large intrauterine devices appear to result in a greater amount of blood loss during menses. By reducing the surface area of the copper IUD, menstrual blood loss can be minimized. The frameless IUD design allows copper release from both the interior and exterior surfaces of the hollow copper tubes, thereby reducing the size of the IUD. Menstrual blood loss studies did not show a significant increase in menstrual bleeding with the small frameless IUD.

4.2 How to insert the frameless IUD (view the insertion video on www.wildemeersch.com)

Supervised training in a model (HUT®), the home uterine trainer, is important to become familiar with the anchoring technique of insertion of the frameless IUD.

Figure 11 Make it simple: A) If you position your hand on the short tenaculum as shown, and B) if you then move the handle gently and controlled forward, while you continue to exert traction on the cervix to aligning the uterus, you will accomplish a perfect insertion. This will help minimizing failed insertion as you go straight to the middle of the fundus which is the thickest part. Feeling the anchor penetrating the fundal tissue will provide additional confidence.

4.2.1 Before insertion

Studies have shown that cervical traction in a caudal direction reduces the median uterocervical angle, from 75° to 10° and moderate cervical traction straightens the uterus, and the routine use of a tenaculum theoretically should make insertion of an IUD safer. A prerequisite, however, is that traction should be applied until the insertion of the IUD has been completed. Figure 11A and 11B show the impact of traction on the uterus in relation to the insertion site of the uterus. Slight traction on the cervix throughout the procedure ascertains proper positioning of the anchor in the midline of the uterus. The fundus is thicker in the midline than close to the fallopian tubes (Figure 11B).

Providers should be cautious in women using the three-monthly injectable as they can have a very thin fundus. Insertion in these patients should therefore be postponed until the fundus is sufficiently thick. In addition, postpartum lactating women may have a soft uterus which could
be a risk factor for perforation of the uterus. A period of at least 2-3 month should be awaited until the uterus is completely returned to normal size.

**Figure 12** A) If no traction throughout the procedure is exerted there is a risk of oblique insertion or insertion in the anterior, posterior or lateral wall of the uterus. Note the anchor below the serosa; B) Traction throughout the procedure will avoid oblique insertion which could be a reason for perforation as the fundus can be thinner at the level of utero-tubal junction. The thickness in the midline is 11 mm whilst it is only 6.8 mm close to the tubal ostium (see arrow).

Clinical experience shows that access to the uterus, and straightening of the utero-cervical axis, is facilitated by using the lithotomy position for all IUD/IUS insertions. In overweight and obese women, pulling at the knees improves visibility tremendously. The risk of perforation is minimized by assessing the thickness of the fundal wall of the uterus prior to insertion of the frameless IUD. The thickness of the fundus should be at least 10 mm. Assessing the thickness by ultrasound may help in providing a safe insertion. The use of a forceps with 18 or 19 cm in length, Allis or Pozzi forceps, is recommended as this facilitates insertion. Figure 12 gives an example of the measurement of the thickness of the fundal wall.

**Figure 13.** A) Example of measurement of the thickness of the uterine fundus. In this case the fundus is 11.5 mm thick. From time to time, it is more difficult to measure the fundal thickness as there may be less contrast between endometrium and myometrium. B) Ultrasound measurement in the second half of the cycle may provide better results or a 3D ultrasound measurement may be performed after injection of gel in the uterine cavity to allow precise measurement of the thickness of the fundus (Gel infusion sonography or GIS).

How to avoid failed insertion-expulsion-perforation?

Insertion is as simple and straightforward as inserting a uterine sound. Failed insertion/expulsion is usually the consequence of improper insertion of the anchor for the full
9-10 mm penetration depth in the myometrium of the uterine fundus. Recommendations and advice is given in Table 3.

Table 3 Recommendations before insertion and some advice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Measure the thickness of the uterine fundus: The thickness of the fundus should be at least 10 mm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Use of a forceps with 16 to 19 cm in length. Allis or Pozzi forceps, is recommended as this facilitates insertion and aligning the utero-cervical axis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Use a short speculum to have close access to the cervix of the uterus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Perform the “cotton swab test”: This test with a cotton swab soaked in antiseptic solution can be done to test the tightness of the internal cervical os and to obtain information on pain sensation. If the test provokes severe pain, local anesthesia can be provided prior to sounding the uterus. Additional instrumentation (e.g., os finder, cervical dilator), except for a sound to measure the length and flexion of the uterus, is rarely necessary if the test shows that that cavity can be entered easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Attention to comfort during insertion is very important. If necessary, perform cervical priming by, for example, Cytotec®: 2 tablets of 200 mg orally, 3 hours before the insertion. In addition, you can also use intra-cervical anesthesia, preferably with a dental syringe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Following delivery, especially in breast-feeding mothers, the insertion should be postponed until three months after birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Women using the 3-monthly injectable should not use GynFix as long as the uterus is atrophic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>After the insertion, the woman should not have intercourse and not use tampons within 5 days.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Midline insertion is easily accomplished if traction on the cervix is exerted throughout the insertion procedure.

4.2.2 After insertion

The visualized anchor is a key element in the optimization of the frameless technology with the aim to allow the provider to check its placement at insertion and at follow-up which enhances provider confidence and assurance. Figure 13 illustrates the measurement of the SA-distance.

Figure 14 A) Anchor with visualization element (magnification x 2.5): Tiny metal piece (2 mm long and 0.5 mm in diameter; insert: real size); B): 2-D ultrasound of visualized anchor located 6.92 mm from the
serosa of the uterus (arrows); C) 3D ultrasound showing the frameless IUD respecting the anatomical configuration of the small uterine cavity. The anchoring knot is placed in the fundal tissue (arrow) assuring proper retention of the IUD.

Concluding messages

The frameless IUD is a unique IUD which, if correctly inserted, will be tolerated by the majority of women, also nulliparous and adolescent women. Many adolescent and nulliparous women prefer the IUD over non-LARC methods when they are properly informed about the advantages over short-acting methods. They are interested in safe, effective, well tolerated, and long-acting contraception.

The late Dr Harrith M Hasson who was honored for his research on the uterine geometry related to IUD performance concluded that "...with few exceptions, the performance record of a IUD is basically determined by its geometric relationship to the host uterine cavity".

Measure → Feel → Measure: Measuring the thickness of the fundus provides assurance; feeling the anchor penetrating the muscle wall provides confidence and measuring the SA-distance confirms proper positioning in the fundus of the uterus.

In order to increase women’s contraceptive choices and reduce the rate of unintended pregnancy, expanding IUD access should be a public health priority. Decreasing physician and patient anxiety about the risks of IUDs is paramount to achieving that goal. Below in Table 4 are the two most important misconceptions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 The two most important misconceptions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IUDs cause pelvic inflammatory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUDs cannot be used by nulliparous women</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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