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ABSTRACT

Objective: The intent of this study (primary outcome measure) is to assess the expulsion rate of a newly developed copper releasing frameless intrauterine IUD GyneFix® Cesarean Section (Gyn-CS®) at 3 months' follow-up, compared to the TCu-380A IUD, inserted immediately postplacental expulsion following cesarean section delivery.

Study design: This is a randomized trial from one hospital research center in Istanbul, Turkey. Eligible pregnant women, the majority undergoing elective cesarean delivery (n=106), and the remaining emergency cesarean section cases (n=34), received intracesarean insertion of GyneCS or TCu380A insertion. Follow-up ended with a 3 month-visit. The Zeynep Kamil University Hospital Ethics Committee approved this study.

Results: The study explores the retention of 140 insertions, 70 Gyne-CS and 70 TCu380A. There were two follow-up visits after discharge from hospital at 6 weeks and 3 months. A single Gyne-CS expulsion occurred after approximately 6 weeks likely a consequence of improper anchoring. Expulsion was more common in the TCu380 A IUD group (11.4% vs 1.4%, p=.039). There were 4 removals for medical reasons in the Gyne-CS patients and 4 in the TCu380A patients, respectively, with 4 non-medical removals occurred, 2 in each group. No serious adverse events (e.g., PID, perforation) were reported. At the study conclusion of 3 months, 61 Gyne-CS (88%) and 54 TCu380A (79%) IUDs remain in place.

Conclusion: This immediate postplacental study in cesarean section patients suggests that the anchoring technique employed resulted in the excellent retention of Gyne-CS. Insertion was easy, safe and quick requiring minimal physician training. The possibility of direct visualization of the anchor by ultrasound at insertion and follow-up allows the surgeon to verify the position of the IUD serving to enhance provider and patient confidence and assurance.

Implications: The frameless anchored intrauterine IUD is effective in minimizing displacement and expulsion. The results of this study suggest that the Gyn-CS IUD is appropriate for wider intracesarean use.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Immediate postplacental insertion (within 10 min of delivery of the placenta) of copper-bearing or hormone-releasing IUDs is safe and acceptable, although in contrast to interval insertion, it carries a higher risk of expulsion [1]. In general, the current techniques of postpartum IUD insertion using conventional IUDs are far from being optimal, both immediately post insertion and over time, as the IUD is not uniformly retained in an optimal position within the uterine cavity. In addition to complete uterine expulsions, high displacement rates also occur which are likely accompanied by side effects (e.g., bleeding, pain) and early removal due to embedment and cramping [2–7]. Since the 1980s, many trials with conventional IUDs attempting to reduce the expulsion and displacement rate of postpartum IUDs have failed indicating the need for further research [8].

Most marketed IUDs worldwide rely on uterine retention methods based on their size and design, with the vast majority using the conventional T-shape configuration. An alternative retention methodology utilizing a simple uterine implantation technique is marketed in Europe for interval and post-abortion insertion under the name GyneFix® (Contrel Research, Ghent, Belgium). This retention system has now been modified to allow for simple and rapid implantation for use in the immediate postpartum period following cesarean delivery. The use of a uterine implantation procedure for anchoring of drug delivery systems was first introduced in Belgium in 1985 at the University of Ghent and subsequently in internationally conducted clinical trials [9,10]. The initial device for implantation in the immediate postpartum environment utilized a biodegradable cone (polycaprolactone) which was added
below the “anchor” of the frameless device. Although a markedly lower expulsion rate was seen when compared to that reported for conventional T-shape IUDs, early removal for medical or at patients request at 42 or 90 days was sub-optimal, requiring excessive force [11]. The difficulty at removal led to the redesign of the device, its inserter and modification of the retention methodology. The present paper reports on the experience with this next generation device and applicator with follow-up of three months as compared to that seen with TCu380A designed to demonstrate a significant reduction in the expulsion rate.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Screening and informed consent

All scheduled and unscheduled (emergency c-sections) participants were enrolled by the investigators (CU, AE) during antenatal care based on compliance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria, their previous obstetrical history, and their wish to participate in the study. The subjects were considered for inclusion if they had an intact and anatomical normal uterus and were able to make a follow-up visit at 6 weeks and again at 3 months. Women with a known anomaly of the uterus (i.e., fundal fibroid or congenital anomaly), possibly precluding anchoring of the Gyn-CS in the fundus of the uterus, were excluded, as were women with a recent genital infection. The study was explained to all participants along with potential benefits of the method and possible risks. Only healthy pregnant women with an uneventful pregnancy were admitted in the study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Zeynep Kamil University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey with informed consent being obtained from all participants.

2.2. Description of the GyneFix® CS IUD

The frameless GyneFix Cesarean Section (Gyn-®) IUD (Contrel Research, Ghent, Belgium), with visualized anchor, is similar in design to the original GyneFix differing in the distance between the anchoring knot and the first copper cylinder and a modified inserter designed to facilitate implantation following cesarean delivery. The extended distance is required to compensate for the greater thickness of uterine fundus encountered during pregnancy prior to complete uterine involution which typically occurs approximately 2 months post-delivery. The IUD contains 5 copper cylinders with a total weight of the copper of 350 mg and the effective copper surface area is~300 mm² (Fig. 1A) and is intended to provide long-term non-hormonal contraception. Immediately below the anchoring knot a thin stainless-steel marker, 2 mm long and 0.5 mm wide, is added to allow for verification of the positioning of the IUD via ultrasonic means at both insertion and subsequent follow-up. The approved contraceptive lifespan of the IUD is 5 years comparable with that of the original GyneFix IUD. The Gyn-CS IUD is preloaded onto a specially designed inserter adapted with a modified safety tip which precludes its use in any other conditions other than after cesarean delivery (Fig. 1B).

2.3. Randomization

Allocation to either of the two treatment groups was done according to a randomization scheme generating blocks of 10 women using a computer-generated table. The pharmacy of the hospital assigned the proper device prior to the surgical intervention. The investigators had no access to the treatment sequence and the type of device was concealed to them until the operation. As the devices and insertion procedures differ completely between the devices, investigator blinding was not possible, but participants were blinded with respect to the device they received until released from the study.

2.4. Insertion of the GyneFix® CS IUD

Immediately following cesarean delivery and manual removal of the placenta, while bleeding is controlled, the uterus was lifted out of the abdominal cavity. Prior to insertion, the cavity was manually inspected for abnormalities precluding proper placement of the intrauterine IUD. The applicator was then inserted through the surgical incision up to the fundus in the midline. The broad applicator tip was easily palpated through the exterior fundal wall to determine positioning, without any risk of penetration or perforation of the fundal wall. The styllet carrying the IUD was then pushed forward until it became visible on the exterior surface to the uterus. The applicator was then removed, and forceps placed on the tail of the IUD. The noose of the anchoring system was then threaded with a biodegradable suture material such as Vicryl® 3–0 suture (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) or a generic equivalent (Fig. 2). The threaded anchor was then retracted one millimeter below the serosa by exerting traction on the tail of the IUD. The passage of the anchor through the denser serosa layers was clearly felt. One end

---

**Fig. 1.** (A) Specially designed inserter for Gyn-CS® (global patents pending). (B) The front end of the inserter is equipped with a triangular tip to be positioned against the fundal wall and serves to prevent perforation with the applicator. Anchoring knot (arrow), positioned on the tip of the styllet, to securely suspend the IUD to the fundus of the uterus.
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of the Vicryl absorbable suture was then secured to the serosa and knotted with its other end. The purpose of the Vicryl suture was to ensure retention while involution of the uterus occurs. Once uterine tone is returned to normal after several weeks, the Vicryl suture dissolves and retention is identical to that seen with the conventional anchored device. Finally, the tail was passed through the cervical canal and trimmed. In the first 30 patients, the tail was left long and was looped in the cervix using forceps. In the remaining women, the tail of the Gyn-CS IUD was trimmed in the lower uterine segment to avoid the tail protruding too long in the vagina and to prevent inadvertent pulling at the tail. The entire procedure takes approximately 3 to 4 min to perform. The Gyn-CS device as well as the applicator are currently CE-marked and approved for use as a long-term contraceptive system throughout the EU.

2.5. Insertion of the TCu380A IUD

Insertion of the TCu380A IUD was accomplished using a sponge forceps for correct placement of the IUD in the fundus of the uterus. The tail was left long.

2.6. Follow-up

Following insertion, women were re-examined at discharge, at 4–6 weeks and again at 3 months after insertion. An ultrasound examination was conducted at each visit in addition to a gynecological examination and the distance between the serosal surface and the anchor (SA distance) was measured (Fig. 3).

2.7. Study outcomes

The primary outcome measure of the study is expulsion of the Gyn-CS IUD in comparison with that of TCu380A IUD, in addition to ease and safety of the insertion procedure. The secondary outcome measures included side effects: bleeding, pain, other medical reasons (e.g., infection, perforation, ectopic pregnancy), and continuation rates of Gyn-CS vs TCu380A.

2.8. Data analysis

A sample size calculation revealed that 214 women (107 in each of the groups) would be sufficient to detect a difference in expulsion rate after 3 months of 9%, with 80% power at a 5% significance level, using a two-sided Fisher’s Exact Test. It was assumed that 10% of IUD expulsions could be expected in the TCu380A group and approximately 1% in the Gyn-CS group at 3 months follow-up. It was initially planned to include 200 subjects in the study during the first 6 month and to follow-up participants during the next 6 months; however, recruitment was slower than anticipated and only 70 women in each of the groups could be recruited (yielding a final study power of 56%) during the
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time period reserved for the trial. This protocol deviation was communicated and accepted by the Ethics Committee (EC).

The median and range (minimum – maximum) were used to report continuous variables. Absolute frequency and percentages were used to report categorical variables. The age distributions in the two device groups were compared using a Mann–Whitney U test; frequencies were compared using Fisher’s Exact tests. Two-sided p-values are reported. All data analyses were carried out in R version 3.4.1 [12].

3. Results

This report concerns 140 subjects, 70 with a frameless Gyn-CS IUD, and 70 with the TCu380A IUD. All insertions were performed by two experienced investigators (CU, AE), appropriately trained in the insertion procedure of the Gyn-CS during one supervised cesarean section and well versed in insertions of TCu380A. The trial started in June 2016 and concluded one year later in June 2017, which was the scheduled month of closure. The primary outcome measure was device expulsion. During antenatal care, 160 women were questioned to participate in the study and were screened according to the eligibility criteria. Only five women declined participation in the study. Of the 160 eligible patients, 140 women (70 per arm) signed an informed consent form and were eventually enrolled into the study. This number included 34 emergency cesarean section cases.

Fig. 4 shows the study flow diagram. The age and parity distribution of the 140 women in this analysis as well as their obstetrical history and other characteristics is shown in Table 1. The baseline characteristics are equivalent in each group. All women have a similar mean age and age range, come from low and middle economic classes, have relatively low education, and are living on the Asian side of Istanbul. Median age in the Gyn-CS group is 30 years (22–40); only 1 among them being primiparous, 69 are multiparous with 64 having previous c-section delivery. In the TCu380A group, the median age is 32 years (20–41); among them 1 was primiparous with 59 having previous c-section delivery.

Median follow-up of women in the Gyn-CS group was 96 days (range 25–126 days), while median follow-up for women in the TCu380A IUD group is 88 days (range 15–151) days. All removal requests occurred within the first 6 weeks after insertion. The remaining participants continued for the full duration of the study. Table 2 shows the study results at 3 months. Originally, 140 participants entered the study with three women not participating in the final statistical analysis: 1 in the Gyn-CS and 2 in the TCu380A group, respectively, as they did not return for follow-up (LFU).

All insertions were successful and no failed insertions occurred. At the 3-month visit post-insertion, 61 Gyn-CS IUDs were in place (excluding the one lost-to-follow-up case); there were a total of 5 medical removals: 2 for suspected endometritis, 2 for pain (one had comorbidity Morbus Crohn), 1 other removal because of an undefined tail problem,
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Fig. 4. Flow diagram. A total of 160 women were evaluated to participate in the study with a maximum of 140 women to be enrolled the study. Five women declined to participate and 15 other women were not enrolled as the study ended before they delivered.
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4. Comments and discussion

The results of this postpartum IUD insertion study in cesarean section patients suggest that the implantation technique results in optimal retention of Gyn-CS as there was only one expulsion which upon investigation appeared to be caused by faulty technique (incorrect insertion) or possibly due to inadvertent pulling at the tail during or after the surgical intervention. Despite the reduction in patients enrolled, a statistically significant difference in expulsion rate between Gyn-CS and TCu380 IUD (p = .039) exists. Due to the anchoring in the dense superficial layers of the fundal muscle (1 mm below the serosa), the anchored IUD is optimally retained in the involuting uterus, before and after biodegrading of the suture, which occurs within 4 weeks, minimizing displacement and expulsion. The involution of the uterus coupled with the foreign body reaction, occurring after implantation, will eventually contribute to the firm anchoring of the IUD.

The results of this study suggest that the intracearean anchoring of Gyn-CS is safe, similarly to the implantation of the frameless GyneFix in the interval and post-abortion uterus [13,14], confirming earlier postpartum studies with the earlier version of the frameless postpartum IUD system [11]. The direct visualization promotes its simplicity. The authors therefore believe that the method is suitable for general obstetrical use and that only limited training is required. The visual technique minimizes the need for extensive provider familiarity and training as providers can learn the technique in a model or by viewing a video film. Supervised in vivo insertion is generally not necessary, although it may still be preferable to build investigator confidence.

The position of the anchor in the fundus of the uterus can be readily identified using ultrasound by localizing the stainless-steel marker attached to the anchor. Gyn-CS itself is also highly visible upon ultrasound. This could be important in the event the tail is not clearly visible. IUD removal is also easy by pulling at the tail, even after a few weeks as the strength of the biodegradable suture diminishes. Two Gyn-CS devices were removed early in the study (2 weeks), presumably before the Vicryl suture was fully dissolved, indicating the possibility of early removal. After absorption of the Vicryl suture, removal of the Gyn-CS IUD is similar to the removal seen after interval or postabortal insertion of the device [15].

Due to its frameless design and its fixation to the fundus of the uterus, displacement and embedding of Gyn-CS is avoided. Displacement of conventional T-shape designed IUDs following postpartum insertion occurs frequently. Displacement results in side effects and complications due to embedment of the IUD occurring during or after involution of the uterus [7]. In an immediate postplacental vaginal delivery study with TCu380A, 44% of subjects experienced malpositioned IUDs upon ultrasound evaluation [6]. Displacement, embedding or malpositioning of TCu380A in our study is not evaluated.

In our study, the tail of Gyn-CS was visible in the vagina in 58% of subjects (58%) at the 3-month follow-up visit while the TCu380A strings were visible in only 15 subjects (22%). No data on tail visibility was available for 8 women in the Gyn-CS and 16 in the TCu380A group, respectively.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Gyn-CS (n=69)</th>
<th>TCu380A (n=68)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accidental pregnancy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expulsion</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>8 (11.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical removals</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-medical removals</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical removals</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned pregnancy</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost-to-follow-up</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuation</td>
<td>61 (88)</td>
<td>54 (79)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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given that the latter can cause discrepancy with the uterine cavity and embedment during involution of the uterus, particularly during prolonged lactation as hyper invasion in these women is not uncommon [16]. Optimal uterine compatibility is more likely to enhance patient continuation rates and overall patient acceptance, as many studies have indicated [17,18]. Due to its small size, there is also less impact on menstrual bleeding [19]. For these reasons, a frameless IUD or drug eluting-releasing intrauterine system could be preferable for use in the immediate postpartum period.

Strengths and limitations of the study. As the primary outcome measure is expulsion of the device, the very low expulsion rate of Gyn-CS compared with TCu380A is a very strong argument in favor of the anchored IUD, preventing expulsion and displacement. The short follow-up period (3 months on average), may be considered a limitation. However, most expulsions occurred within the first 6 weeks [20]. As the anchoring technique is an optimization of previous anchoring techniques, the current implantation technique is considered final and has gained CE authorization within Europe. Precise placement of the anchor, approximately 1 mm below the serosa, is easily accomplished under direct vision. In addition, the position of the anchor marker allows to check its position on follow-up ultrasound examination. Adding more cases would further confirm the safety and validity of the optimized technique and its importance in solving the expulsion and displacement problem of conventional IUDs inserted postpartally. Furthermore, the anchoring technique and its design is the subject of numerous long-term studies, including removal force studies, showing adequacy of the anchoring concept [21] and rapid return of fertility following removal of the implanted devices.

5. Conclusion

Providing immediate, effective and convenient postpartum contraception has the benefit of optimal timing since most women are actively evaluating their future family planning needs. As cesarean section rates are rising steeply, both in developed and developing countries, immediate, preferably reversible, contraception with high efficacy and a low side effect profile is an urgent need [22]. We conclude that the frameless Gyn-CS IUD, specially designed for intrasacral insertion, could be a major advance, potentially suitable for general use due to the ease and safety if the insertion procedure, requiring limited training. The low expulsion rate will prevent more women becoming pregnant too soon which constitutes an important safety issue during future pregnancy. The device, preferably its high-load 10-year version, could also interest many women as a reversible alternative for tubal sterilization. Additional clinical experience with the Gyn-CS IUD is therefore, urgently warranted.
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